Exhibit A

```
N8p2AugC
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     -----x
2
3
     AUGUST IMAGE, LLC, et al.,
                   Plaintiffs,
4
                                         New York, N.Y.
5
                                      23 Civ. 1492 (VEC)
               v.
6
     GIRARD ENTERTAINMENT & MEDIA,
     LLC, et al.,
7
                   Defendants.
8
        ----X
                                         Conference
9
                                          August 25, 2023
                                           10:00 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
12
                       HON. VALERIE E. CAPRONI,
13
                                          District Judge
14
15
                             APPEARANCES
16
17
     DONIGER & BURROUGHS, P.C.
         Attorneys for Plaintiffs
18
     BY: STEPHEN M. DONIGER
19
     MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP, LLP
20
         Attorneys for Defendants
     BY: ELEANOR M. LACKMAN
21
22
23
24
25
```

N8p2AugC

24

25

1 THE COURT: The question is do you need discovery for 2 either of those? 3 MS. LACKMAN: No, I don't think so. I don't think so, 4 because we have the agreement and I don't think we -- I mean, 5 the agreement is a bit challenging, but it seems clear to me that he is an employee for hire, and so therefore there is a 6 7 standing issue with him there. I think the fair use argument is more clear-cut, but I 8 9 think the standing argument is readily explainable relatively 10 briefly without any discovery. 11 THE COURT: So why shouldn't I enter an order to show 12 cause on these two issues? 13 MR. DONIGER: I mean, if you would like to, that's 14 fine. But I think that it's ultimately a -- we strongly 15 disagree with counsel's description of these documents. THE COURT: To be clear, on the fair use, there is no 16 17 discovery needed, right? There is just --MR. DONIGER: I don't think so. 18 19 THE COURT: -- a matter of looking at the post. 20 MR. DONIGER: We have certainly -- we are certainly 21 very familiar with fair use, and there is no -- I see no world 22 in which this falls into fair use, but counsel is free to take 23 whatever position she wishes.

THE COURT: It was an article about Schwimmer's post.

On the contract --

N8p2AugC

MR. DONIGER: No. It was an article about the
Rolling about the Friends show being due for a reboot and
that that was announced one of the things it mentioned is
that Schwimmer had announced it. But it certainly wasn't about
the photograph, and it wasn't
THE COURT: No, but it was about
MR. DONIGER: About the reboot of Friends.
THE COURT: And about it being announced by David
Schwimmer on his Instagram feed.
MS. LACKMAN: Correct. We actually detail that in our
second affirmative defense. We try to make it pretty
straightforward. Each
THE COURT: But in any event, everybody agrees that I
evaluate that on the strength of the thing.
MR. DONIGER: And you know, I do wish that I had
brought the Rolling Stone agreement here. I think the Court
would be able to readily see that it specifically says the
rights that Rolling Stone has; that Rolling Stone has the
right
MS. LACKMAN: I have it. I have it.
MR. DONIGER: May I, please?
THE COURT: Oh, great.
MS. LACKMAN: I have a copy for the Court, as well.
MR. DONIGER: Wonderful.
MS. LACKMAN: And paragraph 10 is what I was referring

N8p2AugC

give the defendant a schedule for a motion for judgment on the pleadings, and we move forward from there. But if you need discovery, I don't want her to make the motion and your response being, I need discovery before I can really respond to this. So if you want discovery, what do you want?

MR. DONIGER: I don't believe we need discovery.

THE COURT: How about from the defendant?

MS. LACKMAN: Not on the issues that your Honor has raised on the motion for judgment on the pleadings, which we do believe would resolve this, or the order to show cause, however your Honor procedurally --

THE COURT: Let's do it in the ordinary course, and you will do a motion for judgment on the pleadings.

When would you like to make that motion?

MS. LACKMAN: We could probably do that in the next -- probably do it in about two weeks.

THE COURT: All right. So I have very strong policies on this, and they go back to a time when I was an associate, so. Your motion for judgment on the pleading is due September 8.

MS. LACKMAN: That's fine. I will be writing this, so I know of no poor associate who will have their holiday ruined. It will only be mine. And besides, this is fun.

THE COURT: Okay. How long do you want to respond?

MR. DONIGER: So you said September 8, yes?